

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE**DATED THIS THE 19th DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2002****BEFORE****THE HON'BLE Mr JUSTICE G.C.BHARUKA****CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO. 148 /2002****BETWEEN:**

**SRI Chandrashekar,
s/o Govinda swamy,
aged about 37 years
residing at No.21, 3rd cross
3rd Main Road, BSK,
Bangalore-560 085**

:PETITIONER

(By Sri M.U.Poonacha, Advocate)

AND:

**1. Sri Sriramulu Naidu,
Father's name not known
to the plaintiff
Major**

**2. Smt Kasturi,
w/o Sri Sriramulu Naidu,
aged major**

**3. Sri Shiva kumar
s/o Sri Sriramulu Naidu
aged Major,**

**4. Sri Babu @ Praveen,
s/o Sri Sriramulu Naidu
aged major**

R 1-4 are residing at No.7,1st Main
Muneshwara Nagar,
Kadeeranahalli (Opp.Petrol Bank)
Bangalore-61

5. Smt. Vasanthi,
w/o Bhaskar
aged major

6. Sri Bhaskar,
Father's name not known,
to the plaintiff, major

R 5 & 6 are r/at Sri Lakshmi
Venkateshwara Nilayam, No.54,
5th cross, Ittimadu, 3rd stage
Near Anjaneya temple Main
Road, Bangalore-560 085

7. Smt. Maheshwari,
w/o Chandrashekar,
No.21, 3rd cross, 3rd Main Road
BSK, Bangalore-560 085

:RESPONDENTS

-X-X-X-

Civil Revision petition filed under Section 115 CPC praying to set aside the order dated 3.10.2001 passed on I.A.No.2 in O.S.No.5041/2000 on the file of the V Addl.City Civil Judge, Bangalore city, allowing IA II filed U/O 1 R 10 CPC for impleading.

This CRP coming on for admission this day, the Court made the following:

ORDER

This is a plaintiff's revision filed against the order dated 3.10.2001 passed by the trial court. Petitioner has filed the present suit O.S.No.5041/2000 against respondents 1 to 6, who are none other than his In-laws, for restraining them from visiting the suit schedule property, wherein his wife and children are also residing. Admittedly, the plaintiff is not going well with his wife Smt. Maheshwari and several litigations are pending between them. It is the allegation of the respondents that the petitioner had been maltreating her and she is finding difficult even to maintain the children and it is under these circumstances that they were visiting the suit schedule property to give protection ^{and look after} to Smt Maheshwari and her children. For these reasons the 7th respondent filed an application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for being joined as a defendant in the suit which the court below has allowed.

2. In the circumstances of the case, I do not find any jurisdictional error in the impugned order nor the passing of the impugned order in any way cause irreparable injury to the petitioner. Revision petition is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/—
Judge