

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 12TH DAY OF JANAUARY, 2012

BEFORE

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE**

REGULAR SECOND APPEAL NO.509/2009

BETWEEN:

1.SRI REDDAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,  
S/O. SRI KRISHNAPPA.

2.SMT. MUNIRATHNAMMA,  
AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS,  
W/O. SRI REDDAPPA.

3.SRI MANJUNATH,  
AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS,  
S/O.SRI REDDAPPA.

4.SRI.GOPALA,  
AGED ABOUT 24 YEARS,  
S/O. SRI REDDAPPA.

5.SMT. ARUNA,  
AGED ABOUT 21 YEARS,  
D/O. SRI REDDAPPA.

ALL ARE RESIDENTS OF  
BENNANGURU VILLAGE,  
HOLUR HOBLI, KOLAR TALUK AND  
DISTRICT - 563101.

...APPELLANTS

(By Sri. K.J. JAGADEESHA - ADVOCATE)  
FOR SRI. G.PAPI REDDY)

AND:

1. SMT. SANJEEVAMMA,  
AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS,  
CLAIMING AS THIRD WIFE OF  
SRI MUNISWAMAPPA.
2. SRI NARAYANAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 76 YEARS,  
S/O.SRI VENKATAPPA,

BOTH ARE RESIDENTS OF  
NUKKANAHALLI VILLAGE,  
HOLUR HOBLI,  
KOLAR TALUK &  
DISTRICT - 563101.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. K.N.JAGADISH, ADV., FOR R1  
SRI.K.R.SRINIVASAN, ADV., FOR R2)

THIS RSA FILED U/S.100 OF CPC, AGAINST THE  
JUDGEMENT AND DECREE DT: 12.02.2009, PASSED IN  
R.A.NO.269/2008, ON THE FILE OF THE PRL. DISTRICT  
JUDGE, KOLAR, DISMISSING THE APPEAL FILED AGAINST  
THE JUDGEMENT AND DECREE DTD: 23.09.2008, PASSED  
IN O.S.186/2002 ON THE FILE OF THE PRL. CIVIL JUDGE,  
(SR.DN) & CJM, KOLAR.

THIS RSA COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, THE  
COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

**P.C.**

Learned counsel for the parties state that the parties  
have amicably settled their dispute and they have tendered  
consent / settlement terms duly signed by them and the

parties. Parties are present in the Court. The consent terms are taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.

2. The consent terms provide for payment of Rs.1,90,000/- (Rs. One lakh ninety thousand) to respondent no.1 who is accompanied by her brother - respondent no.2. With the help of Court Officer I asked her whether she has received the amount mentioned in the consent terms. She mentioned that she has received Rs.1,30,000/- (Rs. One lakh thirty thousand) today in the Court and Rs.50,000/- (Rs. Fifty thousand), were paid to the son-in-law of respondent no.2 at their village and the remaining amount they have paid as fees to the lawyers. The respondents are also accompanied by son-in-law of respondent no.2 and his brother. With the help of the Court Officer I also asked respondent nos. 1 & 2 whether they would like to receive the amount at the taluka Court, Kolar, which is hardly 18 kms. away from their native Nukkanahalli. They answered in the negative and said that they will take the amount received by them in the Court today, with the help of respondent no. 2's son-in-law and his brother.

29

Appeal is disposed of in terms of the consent terms.

Decree be drawn accordingly.

Sd/-  
JUDGE

sak