

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA CIRCUIT BENCH  
AT GULBARGA

DATED THIS THE 16<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2010

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.KUMAR

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUBHASH B.ADI

CCC NO.3057 OF 2010 (CIVIL)

BETWEEN:

1. Omprakash  
S/o Sangappa  
Aged about 40 years,  
Occ: Asst. Teacher,  
National Higher Primary School,  
Sarayi Galli, Bhalki,  
Bidar.
2. Smt.Kousar Begam  
D/o Makbul Ahmad,  
Aged about 40 years,  
Occ: Asst. Teacher,  
National Higher Primary School,  
Sarayi Galli, Bhalki,  
Bidar.
3. Abdul Sayed  
S/o Abdul Habeeb  
Aged about 46 years,  
Occ: Asst. Teacher,  
National Higher Primary School,

Sarayi Galli Bhalki,  
Bidar.

...COMPLAINANTS

(BY SRI.GOURISH S.KHASHAMPUR, ADV.)

AND:

1. Abdul Rub  
The Commissioner of Public  
Instruction Department,  
Gulbarga Division,  
Gulbarga.
2. Bashir Ahmed  
The Deputy Director of Public  
Instructions,  
Bidar Division,  
Bidar.
3. Muniratnappa  
The Block Education Officer,  
Bhalki Taluka,  
Bhalki.

RESPONDENTS

Accused.

(SRI.S.S.KUMMAN, AGA FOR R-1 to R-3)

corrected vide  
Chamber order  
dt 16/1/12

This CCC is filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Court Act praying that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to, issue notice to the respondents, secure their presence and initiate proceedings for contempt of the order passed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.3639/2008 (S-RES) order dated 24.02.2009 and punish the respondents herein in accordance with law.

This CCC coming on for orders today,  
**Mr.N.KUMAR, J.** made the following:

**ORDER**

This complaint is filed alleging that, the respondents have not complied the order dated 24.02.2009 in W.P.3639/2008. By the said order, the respondents 4 to 6 were directed to consider the petitioners representation within a period of one month from the date of the order and pass orders in accordance with law within a period of one month thereafter. After service of notice, respondents entered appearance. They have complied the order in terms of the order passed in the writ petition.

2. The learned counsel for the complainants submit, though the order considering their representation is passed, it is not passed in accordance with law. It is only to over come the contempt proceedings, the said order is passed. If so, it is for the complainants to challenge the order in the appropriate

proceedings. In that view of the matter, the contempt petition does not survive for consideration.

Accordingly it is dismissed.

Sd/-  
JUDGE

Sd/-  
JUDGE

SBN