4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 18TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 1998

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B. PADMARAJ

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE T.N. VALLINAYAGAM

CIVIL PETITION 865/97

IN

BETWEEN: W.A. NO.1164/96

Sri M.K. Joshi,
s/o Krishnacharya Joshi,
aged about 42 years,
Occ: Service as Professor
in Agricultural Research
Station, Naviles,
SHIMOGA. ... APPELLANT

(By Sri C.R. Patil, Adv.)

AND:

1. The Chairman and the
Managing Director,
Union Bank of India,
Head Office, 239, Backbay
Reclamation,
Bombay.

2. The Appellate Authority and
the Deputy General Manager,
(Personnel) and Appellate
Authority, Head Office,
Union Bank of India,
239, Backbay Reclamation,
Bombay.

3. The Disciplinary Authority,
and the Deputy General Manager,
(Personnel) and Disciplinary
Authority, Head Office,
Union Bank of India, 239, Backbay
Reclamation, Bombay.

4. The Enquiry Officer and
the Superintendent (P) and
Enquiry Authority, Zonal
Office, Union Bank of India,
Madras. ... RESPONDENTS

(By Sri S.N. Murthy, Adv. for R1
R2 and R4 are sd.,
R3 service held sufficient)

This Civil Petition is filed under Order 47 Rule 1 of CPC praying for review of the order and Decree dated 17.4.1996 passed in Writ Appeal No.1164/96.

This Civil Petition coming on for disposal this day, T.N. VALLINAYAGAM .J., delivered the following.

O R D E R

The Review is sought for to set aside the order of dismissal passed on the ground that "there is no ground of interference with the order of the learned Single Judge". The grievance of the petitioner is that the original writ petition filed by him is allowed in part and against such limited relief granted

in his favour, the respondents preferred Writ Appeal No.1279/96 and that came to be admitted. Therefore, according to him he is also entitled to admission of Writ Appeal No.1164/96 in respect of disallowed portion of the relief. The two Writ Appeals are for different cause of actions and the bench has applied its mind while dismissing Writ Appeal No.1164/96. The mere fact that in respect of allowed portion, writ appeal has been ~~allowed~~ admitted subsequently does not follow that he is entitled for admission in his Writ Appeal No.1164/96 also. We do not find any good ground to review and ~~petition~~ the is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/- JUDGE

Sd/- JUDGE

gss/-